IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O.O.C.J.

ARBITRATION PETITION NO.367 OF 2005

...

Tarun Mansukhani ...Petitioner

v/s

Pritish Nandy Communications

Pvt.Ltd. ...Respondent

...

Mr.D.D.Madon with Mr.Ranjit Shetty i/b Bachubhai Munim & Co. for the Petitioner.

Mr.Anil Menon for the Respondent.

...

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH, J.

DATED: 17TH OCTOBER,2005

P.C.:

. In paragraph 15 of the affidavit filed in reply,

the Respondent has stated thus:-

"I say the apprehensions of the Petitioner that the Respondent will prevent or will interfere with or obstruct the Petitioner from carrying out his profession and thereby deprive the Petitioner of his livelihood as sought are misplaced and without prejudice to the claims against the Petitioner in this behalf, the Respondent has no intention of so interfering."

2. The learned counsel appearing for the Respondent states that the Respondent will not interfere, prevent or obstruct the Petitioner from carrying out his profession of directing films either by himself or rendering his services to third party.

Statements are accepted.

In view of these statements, no orders are necessary in the petition. Petition disposed of.

...